

**COMMUNITY SAFETY AND LEISURE SERVICES SCRUTINY PANEL  
SPEED CAMERAS – ACTION PLAN**

SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATION	PROPOSED ACTION	BY WHOM	BUDGET COST	TIMESCALE
<p>a) <b>That the Panel would not support the installation of Fixed Speed cameras in Middlesbrough and where need exists to moderate speed mobile units with appropriate advanced signage be deployed.</b></p>	<p>Agreed - The Partnership has no plans to use anything other than mobile cameras for speed enforcement within Middlesbrough.</p>	<p>Camera Partnership</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
<p>b) <b>That behaviour modification as opposed to penalty points is considered will bring longer-term benefits. Consequently, That the opportunity for first time offenders to attend an educational course as an alternative to receiving penalty points as a means of longer-term improvement be further promoted.</b></p>	<p>Agreed – These comments will be passed to the police</p>	<p>Police</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
<p>c) <b>The term “Safety Camera” does not convey the same deterrent factor as “Speed Camera” and consequently to achieve the desired impact to slow drivers the term “Speed” should be used on all occasions.</b></p>	<p>Agreed – this terminology will be used ‘speed cameras’.</p>	<p>Camera Partnership</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>

<p><b>d) That the Council undertake a survey of the traffic calming measures at the entrance of the schools in Middlesbrough. Measures such as chicanes, road humps, signage and use of cameras etc be recorded and the results of which are to be presented to the Panel within six months.</b></p>	<p>See comments in main body of the report.</p> <p>It is recommended that the panel undertake site visits to an agreed list of schools to see the various safety configurations.</p>	<p>T&amp;DS Scrutiny Panel</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>Fit in with panel work programme</p>
<p><b>e) Future road design to slow traffic should aim to use chicanes in preference to road humps as this is considered a more effective way to slow traffic, requires less maintenance and reduces the impact on buses and emergency vehicles.</b></p>	<p>This preference is noted - however speed humps remain a very valuable traffic-calming device and some schemes would be less effective without them. They should therefore be retained as an option in the Council's traffic calming schemes.</p>	<p>T&amp;DS</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
<p><b>f) That the Councils Traffic dept aim, where appropriate, to introduce road signage, which is mounted on, crash collapsible pillars to reduce injury or fatality in the event of an accident.</b></p>	<p>Noted – However this particular safety feature is likely to have a limited application in a low speed urban environment. The policy for use will be reviewed over the next 12 months</p>	<p>T&amp;DS</p>	<p>Not known</p>	<p>12 months</p>
<p><b>g) That an article be presented in Middlesbrough News clarifying the issue of income from Speed cameras and also the reduction in road accident injuries over the last seven years in Middlesbrough.</b></p>	<p>An appropriate article to be produced in association with the partnership.</p>	<p>Camera Partnership T&amp;DS</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>To suit M'bro news publication timetable</p>